

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 LAFACE, LLC,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 PACIFIC INDEMNITY COMPANY,

7 Defendant

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01355-APG-BNW

**Order Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 15]

8 On December 7, 2023, Magistrate Judge Weksler recommended that I dismiss this case  
9 without prejudice because plaintiff LaFace, LCC has failed to obtain counsel as ordered. ECF  
10 No. 15. LaFace did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the  
11 report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo  
12 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is  
13 made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the  
14 district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if*  
15 *objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Weksler’s recommendation (ECF No. 15)  
17 is accepted, and plaintiff LaFace, LLC’s complaint (ECF No. 1) is dismissed without prejudice.  
18 The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

19 DATED this 5th day of January, 2024.

20 

21 ANDREW P. GORDON  
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
23